**Assessment of Article by Journal Editorial Board**

**Article Ref. No:**

**Article Title:**

1. **Please rate the manuscript using the following criteria:-**

*Please colour RED your score (1= Weak; 5 = Excellent)*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Description** | **Score** | | | | | **Strengths or Reservations**  *Please help the authors by not leaving this section empty.* |
| **1** | **Topic** *(Weightage: 5 %)* | | | | | | |
| a | Relevance to the Journal? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| b | Research background explained? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| c | Clarity of aim/purpose of study? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| d | Clarity of objectives of study? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
|  | | | | | | | |
| **2** | **Literature Review** *(Weightage: 20 %)* | | | | | | |
| a | Relation of current research to previous research demonstrated? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| b | Issues / problems / ideas for current research derived from literatures reviewed. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| c | Does the submission adequately engage the current (less than five years old) English-language peer reviewed literature?” | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
|  | | | | | | | |
| **3** | **Methodology** *(Weightage: 15%)* | | | | | | |
| a | Clearly described with precise details? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| b | Procedures justified | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| c | Limitations acknowledged? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
|  | | | | | | | |
| **4** | **Findings** *(Weightage: 15%)* | | | | | | |
| a | Clearly described? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| b | Relevant Findings highlighted? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
|  | | | | | | | |
| **5** | **Discussion** *(Weightage: 20%)* | | | | | | |
| a | Relevant Findings discussed and analysed in relation to theories / issues & problems / ideas, providing the context in which the current research was conceived. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| b | Implications beyond the confines of the current research considered? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
|  | | | | | | | |
| **6** | **Conclusion& Recommendations** *(Weightage: 10 %)* | | | | | | |
| a | Retrospective evaluation of research & Contribution | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| b | Recommendations for improving the situation | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| c | Identification of new directions for further research | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
|  | | | | | | | |
| **7** | **Overall** *(Weightage: 15%)* | | | | | | |
| a | English Language | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| b | Illustrations (such as Figures, Tables, Photos, etc.) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| c | APA style of Referencing? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |

**B) Your recommendation for publication in the journal?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Recommendation** | | **Y/N** |  |
| Does this paper seemed consistent with the expectations for a published international article. | |  |  |
|  | | | |
| **No.** | **Recommendation** | **Tick** | **Why?** |
| **1** | **Accept as it is or with only minor editorial changes**  *(please indicate below or in the manuscript).* |  |  |
| **2** | **Revise and resubmit**  *(please indicate what revisions are necessary)* |  |  |
| **3** | **Not suitable for the journal.**  *(please give justification).* |  |  |

**C) Additional Comments?**

*Please add additional comments to help the Author(s) to improve the article. Please indicate if they are “must change” or “nice to change.”*

**Comments for the Author(s):**